

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/520,841	09/15/2005	Gunther Schlingloff	HS/15-22713/A/PCT	2763
324	7590 12/08/2006		EXAM	INER
CIBA SPEC	CIALTY CHEMICALS CO	DELCOTTO, GREGORY R		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 540 WHITE PLAINS RD P O BOX 2005 TARRYTOWN, NY 10591-9005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/520,841	SCHLINGLOFF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reveil apply and will expire SIX (6) MON 1. cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 S	eptember 2006.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 31-34,43 and 46-73 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-34,43 and 46-73</u> is/are rejected.	· · · ————					
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	} 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior 		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:	<u></u> ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/520,841

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-30, 35-42, 44, and 45 have been canceled. Claims 31-34, 43, and 46-7 are pending. Applicant's amendments and arguments filed 9/18/06 have been entered.

Objections/Rejections Withdrawn

The following objections/rejections as set forth in the Office action mailed 5/22/06 have been withdrawn:

The rejection of claims 31-34, 43, and 46-74 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by WO02/088289 has been withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 31-34, 43, and 46-73 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 4-21, and 23-34 of copending Application No. 10/531906 and claims 1-27 or 10/476043 has been withdrawn.

The rejection of claim 73 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, has been withdrawn.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory

Application/Control Number: 10/520,841

Art Unit: 1751

obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 31-34, 43, and 46-73 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of 10/531907. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-29 of 10/531907 encompass the material limitations of the instant claims.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to formulate a compound and use such a compound to catalyze an oxidation reaction or use the compound in a detergent composition as recited by the instant claims, with a reasonable expectation of success, because claims 1-29 of 10/531907 suggest a compound and the use of such a compound to catalyze an oxidation reaction or the use of such a compound in a detergent composition as recited by the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,841

Art Unit: 1751

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

With respect to the double-patenting rejection of the instant claims over 10/531,907, Applicant states that the instant application and Application No. 10/531907 pertain to completely different ring systems and therefore, are not derivable from each other. In response, note that, the Examiner maintains that claims 1-29 encompass the material limitations of the instant claims including metal complexes which overlap in scope with the metal complexes as recited by the instant claims. Thus, the rejection of claims 31-34, 43, and 46-73 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of 10/531907 has been maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/520,841

Art Unit: 1751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory R. Del Cotto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1312. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

GRD

December 4, 2006